

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/084,658	02/28/2002	Ken Fukuta	NSUG:854	NSUG:854 2489	
6160	7590 12/16/2003		EXAMINER		
PARKHURST & WENDEL, L.L.P. 1421 PRINCE STREET SUITE 210			FIORILLA, CHRISTOPHER A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDR	IA, VA 22314-2805		1731		
			DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/084,658	FUKUTA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Christopher A. Fiorilla	1731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply		correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the properties of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted and the period of the period of the period for reply will, and the period for reply will, by statuted and the period of the period for reply will, by statuted and period for reply will, by statuted and period for reply will. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 S	September 2003.					
,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received	d.				
13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119/e) (to a provisional application)				
since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78.	sentence of the specification or	in an Application Data Sheet.				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 a e specification or in an Application	and/or 121 since a specific n Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Other:						
	· Other: .					

Application/Control Number: 10/084,658

Art Unit: 1731

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Ogawa et al. (4,559,193).
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/084,658

Art Unit: 1731

- 5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogawa et al. (4,559,193) in view of Bonzo (4,557,773) for the reasons as set forth in the previous office action.
- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 9/24/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the rejection of the claims under 35 USC 102(b) applicants argue:

The rejection of claims 1 to 4 and 6 under 35 USC 102 as anticipated by Ogawa et al. '193, if applied to the claims as amended, is respectfully traversed. Claim 1 has been revised to specify that the sealing slurry is filled into the cells through the holes pierced in the mask a quantity sufficient to seal the filled cells." The specification and the drawings clearly provide support for this additional feature of the invention.

In contrast, Ogawa et al. '193 clearly teaches the use of two different materials to seal the filled cells. See the discussion in the patent column 5 as well as patent claims and The Ogawa et al. '193 method requires dipping an end surface of a ceramic honeycomb structural body having a film attached thereto into a sealing slurry mixture, then "subsequently passing a kneaded pasty sealing material green body into the ends of the channels which have slurry adhering to" and firing the body, "whereby said adhered slurry and said kneaded pasty material seal the selected channels." (The quotes are taken from patent claim 1 in column 9.)

See also patent Figs. 7 and 8 and the related discussion. The instant claims patentably define over the reference method.

This argument is not persuasive. The examiner agrees with applicants' characterization of the disclosure. Note however, Ogawa et al. also discloses a process as claimed wherein only one material is introduced in to the channels. This process is described in the part of the disclosure describing the prior art. See e.g. col. 2, lines 1-10.

With respect to the rejection of the claims under 35 USC 103 applicants argue:

The rejection of claim 5 under 35 USC 103 as unpatentable over Ogawa et al. '193 in view of Bonzo '773 is also respectfully traversed. The secondary reference is cited to show

Application/Control Number: 10/084,658

Art Unit: 1731

the use of an image processing process to detect cell positions. The reference, however, overcomes none of the deficiencies of Ogawa et al. 5193 discussed above and the rejection should be withdrawn as well.

This argument is not persuasive for the reasons as set forth above.

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Fiorilla whose telephone number is (703) 308-0674. Note that the examiner's phone number will be changed to (571) 272-1187 on or around December 18, 2003. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 6:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on 703-308-1164. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Christopher A. Fiorilla Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731

Cat